

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

#### <u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlords on June 24, 2021.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 26, 2021, the landlords sent the tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by e-mail. The landlords provided four pages of text messages showing the Direct Request documents were in fact sent by text message.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

#### <u>Analysis</u>

In this type of matter, the landlords must prove they served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding—Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with subsections 89 (1) and (2) of the *Act* which permit service by either leaving a copy with the person, sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides, leaving a copy with an adult who apparently

Page: 2

resides with the tenant; or attaching a copy to the door or other conspicuous place at the address at which the tenant resides.

I find that the landlords have sent the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by text message, which is not a method of service permitted by section 89 of the *Act*.

I find that the landlords have not served the tenant with notice of this application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, and for this reason, the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find that the landlords are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

# Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 11, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch