



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ZAM ENTERPRISES LTD. and [tenant
name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OLC, FFT

Introduction

On February 15, 2021, the Tenants made Applications for Dispute Resolution seeking an Order to comply pursuant to Section 55 of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 65 of the *Act*.

On May 5, 2021, these Applications were set down for a hearing on June 18, 2021 at 9:30 AM.

Four of the ten Tenants that were part of this joint Application attended the hearing, with N.G. attending as an advocate for the Tenants. A.M. attended the hearing as an agent for the Landlord.

The hearing proceeded for two hours and four-minutes, at which time I determined it was necessary to adjourn the hearing to complete it on another date.

On June 29, 2021, these Applications were set down for a reconvened hearing on August 5, 2021 at 9:30 AM.

N.G. attend the reconvened hearing as an advocate for the Tenants, and A.M. attended the reconvened hearing as an agent for the Landlord.

Both parties agreed that efforts were being made, with the new park manager, to re-write the park rules. As such, they requested an adjournment of these matters as the parties were working together in an attempt to mutually settle these disputes.

As both parties were amenable to an adjournment, and as there would be no prejudice to either party, an adjournment was granted pursuant to Rule 7.8 of the Rules of Procedure.

On August 6, 2021, these Applications were set down for another reconvened hearing on October 7, 2021 at 9:30 AM in the event that a settlement had not been reached on these matters.

On September 16, 2021, the parties contacted the Residential Tenancy Branch to advise that the Applications will be withdrawn as they reached a settlement agreement. A copy of this agreement was submitted for consideration.

When reviewing this agreement, it appears as if both parties signed and agreed that they had settled the matters.

I find that the Tenants' request to withdraw the Applications in full does not prejudice the Landlord. Therefore, this request to withdraw the Applications in full was granted.

Conclusion

The Tenants have withdrawn these Applications in full.

Based on the above, the parties have settled their dispute pursuant to their settlement agreement, and I make no findings in fact or law with respect to this Application.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 17, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch