



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding PRICE EMERY HOLDINGS INC and
[tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 11, 2021, the landlord posted the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to a door and sent a copy to the tenant by e-mail.

To confirm this service, the landlord had a witness sign the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding and submitted a copy of an e-mail sent to the tenant on September 13, 2021 containing the Direct Request documents as attachments.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

I find the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding does not indicate the address of the door on which the Direct Request package was attached. I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request to the tenant by attaching to the door.

I also note that section 89 of the *Act* provides that a Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request may be served “*by any other means of service provided for in the regulations.*”

Section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation* provides that documents “*may be given to a person by emailing a copy to an email address **provided as an address for service** by the person.*”

I find there is no evidence to demonstrate that the tenant indicated documents could be served by e-mail. I find the landlord has not demonstrated that the tenant's e-mail address was provided for service of documents, as required by section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation*.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenant and for this reason, the landlord's application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find the landlord is not entitled to recover the filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*

Dated: September 29, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch