

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSDS-DR, FFT

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit (the deposit) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenants on August 23, 2021.

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 11, 2021, the tenants sent the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenants submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

 A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on July 29, 2020 and the tenants on July 28, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,500.00 and a security deposit of \$1,250.00, for a tenancy commencing on September 1, 2020 Page: 2

 A copy of a Tenant's Notice of Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the forwarding address) dated April 22, 2021

- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was placed under the landlord's door at 1:24 pm on April 24, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposit paid by the tenants and indicating the tenancy ended on January 31, 2021

<u>Analysis</u>

In this type of matter, the tenants must prove they served the landlord with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request and all documents in support of the application as indicated on the Notice as per section 89 of the *Act* which permits service by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the landlord resides or carries on business as a landlord.

I find that the Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form indicates the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request was sent to the rental address and not to the landlord's address for service as established in the tenancy agreement.

There is also no indication as to whether the landlord now resides or carries on business as a landlord at the rental address or whether they have provided the tenants this address for service of documents.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the landlord, which is a requirement of the Direct Request process. However, I find there is a more impactful issue with the tenants' application.

The tenants must also prove that they served the landlord with the forwarding address in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Section 88 of the *Act* allows for service by either sending the forwarding address to the landlord by mail, by leaving a copy with the landlord or their agent, by leaving a copy in the landlord's mailbox or mail slot, attaching a copy to the landlord's door or by leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the landlord.

In the special details section of the Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address form, the tenants have indicated that they slid the forwarding address <u>under</u> the landlord's door which is not a method of service as indicated above.

Page: 3

For this reason, I find that the forwarding address has not been served in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the tenants' application for the return of the security deposit based on the forwarding address dated April 22, 2021, without leave to reapply.

The tenants must reissue the forwarding address and serve it in one of the ways prescribed by section 88 of the *Act*, or according to Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline #49, if the tenants want to apply through the Direct Request process.

As the tenants were not successful in this application, I find that the tenants are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

The tenants' application for the return of the security deposit based on the forwarding address dated April 22, 2021, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenants' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 28, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch