

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit (the deposit) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenant on September 13, 2021.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 23, 2021, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on September 23, 2021 and are deemed to have been received by the landlord on September 28, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

Page: 2

 A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on October 7, 2019 and the tenant on October 6, 2019, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,500.00 and a security deposit of \$750.00, for a tenancy commencing on November 1, 2019

- A copy of a Tenant's Notice of Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the forwarding address) dated September 11, 2021
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was sent to the landlord by registered mail on August 27, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet indicating the tenancy ended on May 31, 2021

Analysis

The tenant has indicated they sent the landlord the forwarding address by registered mail on August 27, 2021. However, the copy of the forwarding address form is dated September 11, 2021.

Furthermore, I find the tenant has not submitted a copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the forwarding address to the landlord.

I also note that a document sent by registered mail is considered received five days after it is sent by registered mail.

If a forwarding address was sent by registered mail on August 27, 2021, the forwarding address would have been considered received by the landlord on September 1, 2021.

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that within fifteen days of the tenancy ending and the landlord receiving the forwarding address, the landlord may either repay the deposit or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit.

For a forwarding address sent by registered mail on August 27, 2021, I find that the fifteenth day for the landlord to repay or file would have been September 16, 2021.

I find that the tenant applied for dispute resolution on September 13, 2021, before the fifteen days that would have been provided under the *Act*.

For these reasons, the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Page: 3

As the tenant was not successful in this application, I find that the tenant is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenant's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 15, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch