

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSDB-DR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit (the deposits).

The tenants submitted two signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on September 19, 2021, the tenants sent the landlords the Notices of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by e-mail.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit and a pet damage deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

<u>Analysis</u>

In this type of matter, the tenants must prove they served the landlords with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request and all documents in support of the application as per section 89 of the *Act*.

Policy Guideline #49 on Tenant's Direct Request provides that service by e-mail may be proven by providing:

- A copy of the outgoing email showing the email address used, the date the email was sent, and any attachments included in the email and
- The RTB-51 Address for Service or other document that sets out the party's email address for service

The tenants have indicated they sent the Notices of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the landlords by e-mail. However, I find the tenants have not submitted a copy of the outgoing e-mails showing the Direct Request documents were included as attachments.

Page: 2

I also find that, while the tenants have submitted copies of e-mails exchanged between the tenants and the landlord, there is no evidence to demonstrate that the landlords provided their e-mail address specifically for service of documents, as required by section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation*.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notices of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the landlords and for this reason, the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 12, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch