



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Mindful Management - Western Apartments
Inc and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on September 17, 2021, the landlord sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the tracking numbers to confirm these mailings.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding– Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act* which permits service “*by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides...*”

The definition of registered mail is set out in section 1 of the *Act* as “*any method of mail delivery provided by Canada Post for which confirmation of delivery to a named person*

is available.” Policy Guideline #12 on Service Provisions goes on to clarify that this “includes Express post, if the signature option is used.”

I find that the tracking numbers provided by the landlord with the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms are for packages sent by Canada Post’s Express post mailing, which may or may not require a signature from the individual to confirm delivery to the person named as the respondent.

In this case, Canada Post’s Online Tracking System shows that signatures were not required for the delivery of these Express post mailings and, as such, they do not meet the definition of registered mail as defined under the *Act*.

Since I find that the landlord has not served the tenants with notice of this application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I dismiss the landlord’s application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find that the landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

The landlord’s application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

The landlord’s application to recover the filing fee paid for this application is dismissed without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 13, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch