

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

## Dispute Codes OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "**Act**") for:

- an order of possession for non-payment of rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent in the amount of \$2,170 pursuant to section 67;
   and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

This matter was reconvened from an *ex parte*, non-participatory application of the landlord by way of an interim decision issued on October 27, 2021.

The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 11:10 am in order to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 am. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the tenant and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Rule of Procedure 6.6 states:

## 6.6 The standard of proof and onus of proof

The standard of proof in a dispute resolution hearing is on a balance of probabilities, which means that it is more likely than not that the facts occurred as claimed.

The onus to prove their case is on the person making the claim. In most circumstances this is the person making the application.

This is the landlord's application. As such, he bears the onus to prove his claim. As he failed to attend the hearing, I find that he has failed to discharge his evidentiary burden to prove that he is entitled to the order sought. Pursuant to Rule of Procedure 7.4, he (or his agent) must attend the hearing and present his evidence for it to be considered. As this did

Page: 2

not occur, I have not considered any of the documentary evidence submitted by the landlord to the Residential Tenancy Branch in advance of the hearing.

I dismiss his claim, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 30, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch