

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNR, OLC, MNDCT, RR, LRE, PSF, LAT, MNRT, DRI, FFT

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- cancellation of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, pursuant to section 46;
- an order for the landlord to comply with the Act, the Residential Tenancy Regulation and/or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62;
- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the Act, Residential Tenancy Regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- an order to reduce the rent for repairs, services or facilities agreed upon but not provided, pursuant to section 65;
- an order to restrict or suspend the landlord's right of entry, under section 70
- an order requiring the landlord to provide services or facilities as required by the tenancy agreement or the Act, pursuant to section 62;
- an order of authorization to change the lock, pursuant to sections 31 and 70;
- a monetary order for the cost of emergency repairs, under sections 33 and 67;
- an order to dispute a rental increase, pursuant to section 43; and
- an authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, under section 72.

I left the teleconference connection open until 1:42 P.M. to enable the tenant to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 P.M. The tenant did not attend the hearing. Landlord MG (the landlord) and property manager ML attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlords and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Page: 2

At the outset of the hearing the attending parties affirmed they understand it is prohibited to record this hearing.

Per section 95(3) of the Act, the parties may be fined up to \$5,000.00 if they record this hearing: "A person who contravenes or fails to comply with a decision or an order made by the director commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000."

The landlord affirmed he was not served the notice of hearing. The landlord stated the applicant sent him a text message containing a photograph of one page of the notice of hearing. The landlord called the Residential Tenancy Branch (RTB) and received a copy of the notice of hearing from the RTB.

The landlord testified the applicant is not a tenant.

Rule of Procedure 3.1 states:

3.1 Documents that must be served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package

The applicant must, within three days of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package being made available by the Residential Tenancy Branch, serve each respondent with copies of all of the following:

- a) the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding provided to the applicant by the Residential Tenancy Branch, which includes the Application for Dispute Resolution;
- b) the Respondent Instructions for Dispute Resolution;
- c) the dispute resolution process fact sheet (RTB-114) or direct request process fact sheet (RTB-130) provided by the Residential Tenancy Branch; and d) any other evidence submitted to the Residential Tenancy Branch directly or through a Service BC Office with the Application for Dispute Resolution, in accordance with Rule 2.5 [Documents that must be submitted with an Application for Dispute Resolution].

(emphasis added)

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant did not serve the notice of hearing.

I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of timeline to apply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 09, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch