



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

### Preliminary Matters

I note that the rental address on the Application for Dispute Resolution submitted by the landlord is slightly different than the rental address shown on the tenancy agreement, the 10 Day Notice, and all other documents submitted with the Application. Section 64(3)(c) of the *Act* allows me to amend the application to match the tenancy agreement and the 10 Day Notice, which I have done.

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlord on September 29, 2021.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 6, 2021, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by e-mail. The landlord provided a copy of the outgoing e-mail containing the Direct Request documents as attachments to confirm this service. The landlord also submitted a copy of an Address for Service form which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on April 8, 2021, indicating the parties agreed to use e-mail for service of documents.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 43(2) and 44 of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation* (the *Regulation*), I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on October 6, 2021 and are deemed to have been received by the tenant on October 9, 2021, the third day after their e-mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on February 13, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,000.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on February 13, 2020
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated September 20, 2021, for \$2,935.14 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of October 3, 2021
- A copy of a Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent to the tenant by e-mail at 9:50 am on September 20, 2021
- A copy of the outgoing e-mail dated September 20, 2021, containing the 10 Day Notice as an attachment
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,000.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with sections 43(1) and 44 of the *Regulation*, I find that the 10 Day Notice was served on September 20, 2021 and is deemed to have been received by the tenant on September 23, 2021, three days after its e-mailing.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, October 3, 2021.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$2,934.14, the amount claimed by the landlord for unpaid rent owing from July 2021 to September 2021.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$3,035.14 for rent owed from July 2021 to September 2021 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 05, 2021

---

Residential Tenancy Branch