

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlords on October 18, 2021.

The landlords submitted two signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on October 21, 2021, the landlords sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlords provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the tracking numbers to confirm these mailings.

Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on October 21, 2021 and are deemed to have been received by the tenants on October 26, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlords submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords and the tenants on September 15, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,500.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on September 15, 2020
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated October 5, 2021, for \$2,500.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of October 20, 2021
- A copy of a UPS receipt to confirm the 10 Day Notice was sent to the tenants on October 5, 2021
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy

<u>Analysis</u>

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the landlords to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the landlords cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the landlords must prove that they served the tenants with the 10 Day Notice in a manner that is considered necessary as per section 88 of the *Act*.

Section 88 of the *Act* allows for service by either sending the 10 Day Notice to the tenant by regular or registered mail, by leaving a copy with the tenant, by leaving a copy in the tenant's mailbox or mail slot, attaching a copy to the tenant's door or by leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the tenant.

The definition of registered mail is set out in section 1 of the *Act* as "*any method of mail delivery provided by Canada Post for which confirmation of delivery to a named person is available.*" Policy Guideline #12 on Service Provisions goes on to clarify that this "*includes Express post, if the signature option is used.*"

The landlords submitted a document showing they sent the 10 Day Notice by UPS Express delivery and not through Canada Post. I find the landlords' mailing is not considered either regular mail or registered mail.

For this reason, I find that the 10 Day Notice has not been served in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the landlords' application to end this tenancy and obtain an Order of Possession based on the 10 Day Notice dated October 5, 2021, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated October 5, 2021, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

For the same reason listed above, I dismiss the landlords' application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find that the landlords are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

The landlords must reissue the 10 Day Notice and serve it in one of the ways prescribed by section 88 of the *Act,* or according to Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline #39, if the landlords want to apply through the Direct Request process.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession based on the 10 Day Notice dated October 5, 2021 without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated October 5, 2021 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

The tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the Act.

I dismiss the landlords' application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 26, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch