

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding S.L Nelson developments Ltd and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 4, 2021, the landlords sent the tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by e-mail. The landlords provided a copy of an Address for Service form which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on April 12, 2021 indicating the tenant agreed to use e-mail for service of documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlords must prove they served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

Policy Guideline #39 provides that service by e-mail may be proven by providing:

Page: 2

A copy of the outgoing email showing the email address used, the date the
email was sent, and any attachments included in the email and

 The RTB-51 - Address for Service or other document that sets out the party's email address for service

The landlords have indicated that they sent the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenant by e-mail. However, I find the landlords have not submitted a copy of the outgoing e-mail containing the Direct Request documents as attachments to confirm this service.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenant and for this reason, the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find the landlords are not entitled to recover the filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 03, 2021	
	Residential Tenancy Branch