

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDL-S, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

- a monetary order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, Residential Tenancy Regulation ("Regulation") or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested, pursuant to section 38; and
- an order authorizing the landlord the recovery of the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord. No one was in attendance on behalf of the tenant. The landlord submitted documentary evidence that the tenant was served notice of this application and this hearing by registered mail on July 28, 2021. Canada Post tracking information was submitted in the landlord's evidence that shows that the item was unclaimed by the tenant. Based on the submissions of the landlord, I find the tenant was served in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*. Therefore, I continued in the absence of the tenant.

Issue to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for damage arising out of this tenancy?

Is the landlord entitled to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award requested?

In the landlord entitled to the recovery of the filing fee?

Is the landlord entitled to the recovery of the filing fee?

Page: 2

Background, Evidence

The landlord's undisputed testimony is as follows. The tenancy began on June 15, 2020 and ended on July 1, 2021. The tenant was obligated to pay \$1650.00 per month in rent in and at the outset of the tenancy the tenant paid a \$825.00 security deposit which the landlord still holds. The landlord testified that the tenant left the unit dirty and damaged at move out. The landlord testified that written condition inspection reports were done at move in and move out, however the tenant refused to acknowledge the condition of the unit at move out. The landlord testified that he had to have the unit cleaned, have the walls patched and painted, repair a lock, and replace an alarm battery.

The landlord is applying for the following:

1.	Suite Cleaning	\$120.00
2.	Patch and Paint walls	285.00
3.	Lock Repair	140.00
4.	Battery Alarm	39.19
5.	Filing fee	100.00
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
	Total	\$684.19

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 67 of the *Act* establishes that if damage or loss results from a tenancy, an Arbitrator may determine the amount of that damage or loss and order that party to pay compensation to the other party. In order to claim for damage or loss under the *Act*, the party claiming the damage or loss bears the burden of proof. The claimant must provide sufficient evidence of the following four factors; the existence of the damage/loss, that it stemmed directly from a violation of the agreement or a contravention of the *Act* on the part of the other party, the applicant must also show that they followed section 7(2) of the Act by taking steps to mitigate or minimize the loss or damage being claimed, and that if that has been established, the claimant must then provide evidence that can verify the actual monetary amount of the loss or damage. I address the landlords claim and my findings as follows.

Page: 3

The landlord provided extensive documentation, undisputed testimony, receipts and photos to support their application. I find that the landlord is entitled to their entire claim of \$684.19.

Conclusion

The landlord has established a claim for \$684.19. I order that the landlord retain that amount from the \$825.00 security deposit in full satisfaction of the claim and to return the remaining \$140.81 to the tenant. I grant the tenant an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$140.81. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 31, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch