

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDB-DR, FFT

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit (the deposits) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenant on November 24, 2021.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 28, 2021, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit and a pet damage deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which names a landlord who is not the applicant and was signed by the tenant on March 6, 2021, indicating a monthly

rent of \$1,100.00, a security deposit of \$610.00, and a pet damage deposit of \$50.00, for a tenancy commencing on April 1, 2021

- A copy of a Tenant's Notice of Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the forwarding address) dated November 24, 2021
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form which indicates that the forwarding address was sent to the landlord by WeChat at 11:00 pm on November 24, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposits paid by the tenant and indicating the tenancy ended on November 1, 2021

#### <u>Analysis</u>

In this type of matter, the tenant must prove that they served the landlord with the forwarding address in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Section 88 of the Act allows for service of the forwarding address to the landlord:

- by regular or registered mail,
- by leaving a copy with the landlord or their agent
- by leaving a copy in the landlord's mailbox or mail slot
- by attaching a copy to the landlord's door
- by leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the landlord
- by e-mail, in accordance with the Residential Tenancy Regulation

In the special details section of the Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address form, the tenant has indicated that they sent the forwarding address by WeChat, which is not a method of service as indicated above.

For this reason, I find that the forwarding address has not been served in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the tenant's application for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit based on the forwarding address dated November 24, 2021, without leave to reapply.

The tenant must reissue the forwarding address and serve it in one of the ways prescribed by section 88 of the *Act*, or according to Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline #49, if the tenant wants to apply through the Direct Request process.

As the tenant was not successful in this application, I find that the tenant is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

#### <u>Conclusion</u>

The tenant's application for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit based on the forwarding address dated November 24, 2021, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenant's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 06, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch