

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding NO. 33 TAURUS VENTURES LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes CNC-MT

#### Introduction

On October 12, 2021, the Tenant made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the "Notice") pursuant to Section 47 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*") and seeking more time to cancel the Notice pursuant to Section 66 of the *Act*.

The Tenant attended the hearing, with J.E. and N.A. attending as advocates for the Tenant. K.P. attended the hearing as an agent for the Landlord. At the outset of the hearing, I explained to the parties that as the hearing was a teleconference, none of the parties could see each other, so to ensure an efficient, respectful hearing, this would rely on each party taking a turn to have their say. As such, when one party is talking, I asked that the other party not interrupt or respond unless prompted by myself. Furthermore, if a party had an issue with what had been said, the parties were advised to please make a note of it and when it was their turn, they would have an opportunity to address these concerns. The parties were also advised that recording of the hearing was prohibited and they were informed to refrain from doing so. All parties acknowledged these terms. As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

Service of the Notice of Hearing and evidence packages was discussed and confirmed. In addition, it was noted that the Tenant disputed the Notice outside of the legislated timeframe. However, K.P. advised that she did not oppose this and was prepared to proceed with a hearing.

All parties were given an opportunity to be heard, to present sworn testimony, and to make submissions. I have reviewed all oral and written submissions before me;

however, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

I note that Section 55 of the *Act* requires that when a Tenant submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy issued by a Landlord, I must consider if the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession if the Application is dismissed and the Landlord has issued a notice to end tenancy that is compliant with the *Act*.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Tenant entitled to have the Notice cancelled?
- If the Tenant is unsuccessful in cancelling the Notice, is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

## Background and Evidence

While I have turned my mind to the accepted documentary evidence and the testimony of the parties, not all details of the respective submissions and/or arguments are reproduced here.

All parties agreed that the tenancy started on October 1, 2020, that rent was currently established at \$1,600.00 per month, and that it was due on the first day of each month. A security deposit of \$800.00 was also paid. A copy of the signed tenancy agreement was submitted as documentary evidence.

Submissions were made by both parties on the issues pertaining to this Application. However, the parties turned their minds to reaching a full and final settlement agreement. The parties were able to reach an agreement and I have recorded the terms of agreement by way of this Decision and the conditional Order of Possession that accompanies it.

## Settlement Agreement

I raised the possibility of settlement pursuant to Section 63(1) of the *Act* which allows an Arbitrator to assist the parties to settle the dispute. I explained to the parties that settlement discussions are voluntary, that if they chose not to discuss settlement I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter, and that if they chose to discuss settlement and did not come to an agreement, that I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter.

I advised the parties that if they did come to an agreement, I would write out this agreement in my written Decision and make any necessary Orders. I also explained that the written Decision would become a final and legally binding agreement. The parties did not have questions about discussing a settlement when asked.

The parties reached the following full and final settlement agreement during the hearing:

- 1. The Tenant will remain in possession of the rental unit but must give up vacant possession of the rental unit at **1:00 PM on March 31, 2022**.
- 2. Rent must continue to be paid in accordance with the terms of the tenancy agreement.
- 3. The Notice of September 28, 2021 is cancelled and of no force or effect.
- 4. The parties agreed that fulfilment of these conditions would amount to full and complete satisfaction of this dispute.

This settlement agreement was reached in accordance with Section 63 of the *Act*. The parties confirmed at the end of the hearing that this agreement was made on a voluntary basis and that they understood the binding nature of this full and final settlement of these disputes.

The Landlord is provided with a conditional Order of Possession effective at **1:00 PM on March 31, 2022** after service of this Order on the Tenant, should the Tenant not comply with condition one of this settlement agreement.

#### **Conclusion**

The parties reached a full and final settlement agreement in resolution of their disputes. I have recorded the terms of settlement in this Decision and in recognition of the settlement agreement, I hereby Order that if the Tenant breaches condition one of this settlement agreement, the Landlord is provided with a formal copy of a conditional Order of Possession effective at **1:00 PM on March 31, 2022 after service of this Order** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant or any occupant on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

The One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause of September 28, 2021 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 1, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch