



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

**Dispute Codes**      **OPU-DR, MNU-DR, FFL**

### **Introduction**

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and utilities, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent and utilities, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlords submitted a copy of two Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on January 21, 2022, the landlords sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit.

### **Issues to be Decided**

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and utilities pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent and utilities pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### **Analysis**

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the landlords to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the landlords cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the landlords must prove they served each tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

The Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding instructs the landlords to attach a completed Canada Post Registered Mail Receipt to confirm service.

I note that the landlords typed a tracking number on each Proof of Service form; however, I find the landlords have not submitted a copy of the two Canada Post Registered Mail Receipts themselves.

As the landlords have not submitted the documentation required to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to each tenant, the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and utilities is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find that the landlords are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and utilities with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 07, 2022

---

Residential Tenancy Branch