

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SKYLINE TOWERS C/O BAYSIDE PROPERTY SERVICES LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an ex parte Direct Request Proceeding pursuant to section 55(4) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee.

The Landlord submitted signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding documents which declare that the Landlord served each of the Tenants with a Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding and supporting documents by registered mail on February 9, 2022. Service in this manner was supported by images of date-stamped envelopes addressed to each of the Tenants and bearing registered mail labels which included the tracking numbers. Pursuant to sections 89 and 90 of the Act, I find these documents are deemed to have been received by the Tenants on February 14, 2022, five days after they were sent by registered mail.

Issues to be Decided

- 1. Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the Act?
- 2. Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 67 of the Act?
- 3. Is the Landlord entitled to recover the filing fee pursuant to section 72 of the Act?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

Page: 2

The Landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

A copy of a signed residential tenancy agreement dated April 3, 2010, indicating a
monthly rent in the amount of \$1,100.00 due on the first day of each month, for a
tenancy commencing on May 1, 2010;

- Copies of Notices of Rent Increase effective February 1, 2015 (from \$1,100.00 to \$1,127.00), February 1, 2016 (from \$1,127.00 to \$1,159.00), February 1, 2017 (from \$1,159.00 to \$1,201.00), February 1, 2018 (from \$1,201.00 to \$1,249.00), February 1, 2019 (from 1,249.00 to \$1,280.00), February 1, 2020 (from \$1,280.00) to \$1,313.00), and February 1, 2022 (from \$1,313.00 to \$1,332.00);
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities dated January 7, 2022, for \$4,737.00 in unpaid rent (the 10 Day Notice). The 10 Day Notice provides that the Tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of January 22, 2022;
- A copy of a signed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy document which
 indicates that the 10 Day Notice was served on the Tenants by registered mail on
 January 7, 2022, which service was supported by an image of a date-stamped
 envelope addressed to ZG and bearing a Canada Post registered mail label which
 included the tracking number; and
- A copy of a Direct Request Worksheet and a Statement of Rental Account showing the rent due (\$4,737.00) and paid (\$1,381.00) during the relevant period, leaving \$3,356.00 outstanding to January 31, 2022.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the Tenants are currently obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$1,332.00.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the Act, I find that the Tenants are deemed to have received the 10 Day Notice on January 12, 2022, five days after it was sent by registered mail.

I find the 10 Day Notice complies with the form and content requirements of section 52 of the Act.

I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants failed to pay the rent owed in full and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within five days after receipt in accordance with section 46(4) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on January 22, 2022, the effective date of the 10 Day Notice.

Therefore, I find the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession which will be effective two days after it is served on the Tenants.

I also find the Landlord has demonstrated an entitlement to a monetary award in the amount of \$3,356.00 for unpaid rent to January 31, 2022 (\$4,737.00 - \$1,381.00 = \$3,356.00). The Landlord remains at liberty to reapply for a monetary order for any additional unpaid rent or other losses.

Having been successful, I also find the Landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid to make the application.

Conclusion

The Landlord is granted an order of possession which will be effective two days after it is served on the Tenants. The order of possession must be served on the Tenants. The order of possession may be filed in and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

The Landlord is granted a monetary order in the amount of \$3,456.00 for unpaid rent and in recovery of the filing fee. The monetary order must be served on the Tenants. The monetary order may be filed in and enforced as an order of the Provincial Court of British Columbia (Small Claims).

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 28, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch