



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit (the deposit) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenant on January 14, 2022.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 27, 2022, the tenant served the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by handing the documents to Person K.G., an agent for the landlord. The tenant had a witness and Person K.G. sign the Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm this service.

Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were duly served to the landlord on January 27, 2022.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on August 11, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,200.00 and a security deposit of \$600.00, for a tenancy commencing on August 12, 2020
- A copy of a Condition Inspection Report which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 27, 2021, indicating the tenant provided a forwarding address at the time of the move-out inspection
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposit paid by the tenant and indicating the tenancy ended on October 31, 2021

Analysis

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that the landlord has fifteen days from the end of tenancy and the date they received the forwarding address to either return the deposit(s) in full or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

Section 38(6) of the *Act* states that if the landlord does not return the deposit(s) or file a claim against them within the fifteen days, the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the deposit(s).

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenant paid a security deposit in the amount of \$600.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the following declarations made by the tenant on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet:

- The tenant has not provided consent for the landlord to keep all or part of the deposit
- There are no outstanding Monetary Orders against the tenant for this tenancy
- The tenant has not extinguished their right to the deposit in accordance with sections 24(1) and 36(1) of the *Act*.

In accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the forwarding address was duly served to the landlord on October 27, 2021, the day the landlord signed the Condition Inspection Report.

I accept the tenant's statement on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet that the tenancy ended on October 31, 2021.

I accept the evidence before me that the landlord has failed to return the deposit to the tenant and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution requesting to retain the deposit by November 15, 2021, within the fifteen days granted under section 38(1) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit in accordance sections 38(6) of the *Act*.

Therefore, I find that the tenant is entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$1,200.00, double the amount claimed by the tenant for the security deposit.

As the tenant was successful in this application, I find that the tenant is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,300.00 for the return of double the security deposit and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The tenant is provided with this Order in the above terms and the landlord must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 09, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch