



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 689352 BC LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("Act") for:

- authorization to obtain a return of double their security deposit pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for its application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72.

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for a monetary order for return of double the security deposit paid to the landlord and for the return of the filing fee for the Application, under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act").

Only the tenant appeared at the hearing. The tenant provided affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

The tenant testified and supplied documentary evidence that she served the landlord with the Notice of Hearing and Application for Dispute Resolution by registered mail, sent on October 5, 2021. The tenant provided Canada Post Tracking slips. Pursuant to sections 89 and 90 of the Act, I find that the landlord was deemed served on October 10, 2021, five days after mailing.

Issue to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to a monetary award equivalent to double the value of the security deposit as a result of the landlord's failure to comply with the provisions of section 38 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord?

Background, Evidence

The tenant's undisputed testimony is as follows. The tenancy began on October 1, 2019 and ended on May 31, 2020. The tenant was obligated to pay \$2000.00 per month in rent in advance and at the outset of the tenancy the tenant paid a \$1000.00 security deposit. The tenant testified that a written condition inspection report was conducted at move in and move out. The tenant testified that at the move out condition inspection the landlord advised her that she was retaining the deposit but didn't give her any reasons. The tenant testified that she provided her forwarding address on that day in person in writing to the landlord. The tenant is seeking the return of double her deposit $\$1000.00 \times 2 = \2000.00 . The tenant is also seeking the recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee.

Analysis

While I have turned my mind to all the documentary evidence and the testimony of the tenant, not all details of the respective submissions and arguments are reproduced here. The principal aspects of the tenant's claim and my findings around each are set out below.

The tenant said she is applying for the return of double the security deposit as the landlord has not complied with the s. 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Section 38 (1) says that except as provided in subsection (3) or (4) (a), within 15 days after the later of

- (a) the date the tenancy ends, and
- (b) the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing,

the landlord must do one of the following:

- (c) repay, as provided in subsection (8), any security deposit or pet damage deposit to the tenant with interest calculated in accordance with the regulations;
- (d) make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the security deposit or pet damage deposit.

And Section 38 (6) says if a landlord does not comply with subsection (1), the landlord

- (a) may not make a claim against the security deposit or any pet damage deposit, and

(b) must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit, pet damage deposit, or both, as applicable.

Based on the undisputed testimony of the tenant, the documentary evidence before me and in the absence of any disputing evidence from the landlord, I find that the landlord has not acted in accordance with Section 38 of the Act and that the tenant is entitled to the return of double the deposit in the amount of \$2000.00.

The tenant is also entitled to the recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee.

Conclusion

The tenant has established a claim for \$2100.00. I grant the tenant an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$2100.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 08, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch