



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Meicor Property Management
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC-MT

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenants applied for:

- cancellation of the One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the Notice), pursuant to section 47; and
- an extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice, pursuant to section 66.

Both parties attended the hearing. The landlord was represented by property manager HT. All were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions, and to call witnesses.

At the outset of the hearing the attending parties affirmed they understand it is prohibited to record this hearing.

Per section 95(3) of the Act, the parties may be fined up to \$5,000.00 if they record this hearing: "A person who contravenes or fails to comply with a decision or an order made by the director commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$5 000."

Preliminary Issue – Named Landlord

At the outset of the hearing HT affirmed she is the property manager representing landlord Meicor Property Management. The Notice lists landlord Meicor Property Management. The tenant affirmed she is not sure who is the landlord, but she communicates with HT.

Based on the landlord's convincing testimony and the Notice, pursuant to section 64(3)(a) and (c) of the Act, I have amended the tenant's application to name the respondent landlord Meicor Property Management.

Settlement

Pursuant to section 63 of the Act, an arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During the hearing the parties discussed the issues between them, engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement of all issues listed in this application for dispute resolution:

- The tenant agrees to provide the landlord with vacant possession of the subject rental property by 1:00 P.M. on March 31, 2022.

Conclusion

As the parties have reached a settlement, I make no factual findings about the merits of this application.

To give effect to the settlement reached between the parties and as discussed with them during the hearing, pursuant to section 63(2) of the Act, I issue an order of possession to the landlord, which is to take effect by 1:00 P.M. on March 31, 2022. The landlord is provided with this order in the above terms and must serve it on the tenant in accordance with the Act. If the tenant fails to comply with this Order, this order may be filed and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 14, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch