

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNR-MT, FFT, OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction and Preliminary Matters

This hearing dealt with cross applications filed by the parties. On January 7, 2022, the Tenant applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice") pursuant to Section 46 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), seeking more time to cancel the Notice pursuant to Section 66 of the *Act*, and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

On January 26, 2022, the Landlord applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking an Order of Possession based on the Notice pursuant to Section 46 of the *Act*, seeking a Monetary Order for compensation pursuant to Section 67 of the *Act*, and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

The Tenant attended the hearing; however, the Landlord did not make an appearance at any point during the 12-minute teleconference. At the outset of the hearing, the Tenant was informed that recording of the hearing was prohibited and she was reminded to refrain from doing so. She acknowledged this term, and she provided a solemn affirmation.

She advised that she did not remember if she served the Landlord the Notice of Hearing package or not. She also contradictorily stated that she did not serve the Notice of Hearing package at all.

Based on this testimony, as there was insufficient documentary evidence corroborating that the Notice of Hearing package was served to the Landlord, I am not satisfied that the Landlord was duly served this package. As I am not satisfied of service, I have dismissed the Tenant's Application without leave to reapply.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a Decision or dismiss the Application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Page: 2

I dialed into the teleconference at 9:30 AM and monitored the teleconference until 9:42 AM. Only the Tenant dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the Tenant was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

As the Landlord did not attend the hearing by 9:42 AM, I also dismiss the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution without leave to reapply.

As the Tenant was not successful in this Application, I find that the Tenant is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this Application.

As the Landlord was not successful in this Application, I find that the Landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this Application.

Conclusion

Based on the above, both the Tenant's Application and the Landlord's Application are dismissed without leave to reapply.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 22, 2022	
	Residential Tenancy Branch