

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR-MT

Introduction

This hearing was convened as a result of the Tenants' Application for Dispute Resolution, made on January 15, 2022 (the "Application"). The Tenants applied for the following relief, pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"):

- an order cancelling a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, dated December 16, 2022 (the "10 Day Notice")
- an order granting more time to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy.

The hearing was scheduled for 1:30PM on April 12, 2022 as a teleconference hearing. The Landlord and the Landlord's agent K.L. attended the hearing at the appointed date and time. No one appeared for the Tenant. The conference call line remained open and was monitored for 10 minutes before the call ended. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. During the hearing, I also confirmed from the online teleconference system that the Landlord and I were the only persons who had called into this teleconference.

Preliminary Matters

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure states that the dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. As the Landlord, the Landlord's Agent and I attended the hearing on time and ready to proceed and there was no evidence before me that the parties had agreed to reschedule or adjourn the matter, I commenced the hearing as scheduled at 1:30PM on April 12, 2022

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure states that if a party fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply. As neither the Tenant, nor a

representative acting on their behalf attended the hearing to present any evidence or testimony for my consideration regarding the Tenant's Application, I therefore dismiss the Tenant's Application in its entirety without leave to reapply.

I note that Section 55 of the *Act* requires that when a tenant submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy issued by a landlord I must consider if the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, and an order requiring the payment of the unpaid rent, if the Application is dismissed and the landlord has issued a notice to end tenancy that is compliant with the *Act*. Having made the above finding, I will now turn my mind to whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*.

At the start of the hearing, the Landlord's Agent confirmed that the Landlord had filed a previous Dispute Resolution Application through Direct Request and was successful in obtaining an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent. As the matter has already been resolved, I find that today's matter is *res judicata*. In other words, the legal issue was resolved in a previous decision and I have no authority to alter that decision. I therefore deny reconsideration of this matter during this hearing.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 12, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch