

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC-MT, OLC, MNDCT, RP, PSF

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- cancellation of the One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the Notice), pursuant to section 47;
- an extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice, pursuant to section 66;
- an order for the landlord to comply with the Act, the Residential Tenancy Regulation (the Regulation) and/or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62;
- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the Act, the Regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- an order requiring the landlord to carry out repairs, pursuant to section 32; and
- an order requiring the landlord to provide services or facilities as required by the tenancy agreement or the Act, pursuant to section 62.

Both parties attended the hearing. The landlord was assisted by advocate GC. All were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions, and to call witnesses.

At the outset of the hearing the attending parties affirmed they understand the parties are not allowed to record this hearing.

Per section 95(3) of the Act, the parties may be fined up to \$5,000.00 if they record this hearing: "A person who contravenes or fails to comply with a decision or an order made by the director commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000.00."

Preliminary Issue - Unrelated Claims

Residential Tenancy Branch Rule of Procedure 2.3 states that claims made in an application for dispute resolution must be related to each other. Arbitrators may use their discretion to dismiss unrelated claims with or without leave to reapply.

It is my determination that the priority claim regarding the Notice, the extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice and the continuation of this tenancy is not sufficiently related to any of the tenant's other claims to warrant that they be heard together.

The tenant's other claims are unrelated in that the basis for them rests largely on facts not germane to the question of whether there are facts which establish the grounds for ending this tenancy as set out in the notice. I exercise my discretion to dismiss all of the tenant's claims with leave to reapply except cancellation of the notice to end tenancy and the extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice, which will be decided upon.

<u>Settlement</u>

Pursuant to section 63 of the Act, an arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During the hearing the parties discussed the issues between them, engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement of all the issues not severed in this application for dispute resolution:

- 1. The Tenant agrees to provide the landlord with vacant possession of the subject rental property by 1:00 P.M. on July 31, 2022.
- 2. The tenant may move out earlier without penalty if the tenant serves a ten day notice to end tenancy. The landlord will return the *pro rata* rent if the tenant moves out before July 31, 2022.

Conclusion

As the parties have reached a settlement, I make no factual findings about the merits of this application.

To give effect to the settlement reached between the parties and as discussed with them during the hearing, pursuant to section 63(2) of the Act, I issue an order of possession to the landlord, which is to take effect by 1:00 P.M. on July 31, 2022. The landlord is provided with this order in the above terms and must serve it on the tenant in accordance with the Act. If the tenant fails to comply with this Order, this order may be filed and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 11, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch