



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes **MNDCL-S, MNDL-S, FFL**

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for the following:

- A monetary order for unpaid rent and for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, *Residential Tenancy Regulation* ("*Regulation*") or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*;
- Authorization to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72.

This hearing also dealt with an application by the tenant under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for the following:

- A monetary order in an amount equivalent to twelve times the monthly rent payable under the tenancy agreement under section 51(2) and 67;
- An order for the landlord to return the security deposit pursuant to section 38;
- A monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, *Residential Tenancy Regulation* ("*Regulation*") or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*;
- An order requiring the landlord to reimburse the tenant for the filing fee pursuant to section 72.

VP attended on behalf of the landlord ("the landlord"). The tenants and the advocate AJ attended ("the tenant").

Both parties had opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, present evidence and make submissions. No issues of service were raised.

Neither party made any adjournment or accommodation requests.

I explained the hearing and settlement processes, and the potential outcomes and consequences, to both parties. Both parties had an opportunity to ask questions, which I answered.

I informed both parties that I could not provide legal advice to them. I notified them that they could hire lawyers to obtain legal advice. I informed them that they could consult the Act, Regulation, Policy Guidelines and Rules of Procedures on the RTB public website or contact Information Officers of the RTB. I notified them that they could settle their tenancy issues privately or at an RTB hearing.

Recording

The parties were cautioned that recordings of the hearing were not permitted pursuant to Rule 6.11 of the *Residential Tenancy Branch Rules*. Both parties confirmed their understanding of the requirement and further confirmed they were not making recordings of the hearing.

Delivery of Decision

Each party confirmed their email address to which a copy of the Decision will be sent.

Settlement

Before the conclusion of this 85-minute hearing, the parties discussed the issues between them, engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise, and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Pursuant to section 63 of the *Act*, the Arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties do so during the dispute resolution proceedings, the

settlement may be recorded in the form of a Decision or an Order. This settlement agreement was reached in accordance with section 63.

Given the agreement reached between the parties during the proceedings, I find that the parties have settled their dispute and the following records this settlement as a Decision:

- 1) The landlord agreed to pay the tenant \$1,705.00 in final satisfaction of the tenant's claims and the tenant shall pick up the cheque from the landlord's office after noon on Jun 2, 2022.
- 2) The parties agreed a Monetary Order to the tenant would issue in this amount.

In support of this settlement and with the agreement of both parties, I grant the landlord the following:

1. Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,705.00.

Should either party violate the terms of this agreement, the tenancy agreement, or the *Act*, it is open to the other party to take steps under the *Act* for an appropriate remedy.

The Arbitrator reviewed the terms of the settlement with the parties; both parties stated they understood and agreed to the terms. They acknowledged this settlement settles all aspects of these applications.

Based on the above, I find that all matters between these parties raised in these applications are resolved pursuant to the above agreed terms.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 31, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch