

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDL, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The landlord applied for:

- a monetary order for loss under the Act, the regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67; and
- an authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, under section 72.

I left the teleconference connection open until 1:40 P.M. to enable the tenant to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 P.M. The tenant did not attend the hearing. The landlord, represented by agents LA (the landlord) and SS, attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

At the outset of the hearing the attending parties affirmed they understand the parties are not allowed to record this hearing.

Per section 95(3) of the Act, the parties may be fined up to \$5,000.00 if they record this hearing: "A person who contravenes or fails to comply with a decision or an order made by the director commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000.00."

The landlord affirmed she is not sure if the notice of hearing was served. The landlord does not know the tenant's forwarding address.

Based on the landlord's testimony, I find the landlord did not serve the Notice of hearing, as required by section 89 of the Act.

Page: 2

The hearing cannot proceed fairly when the respondent has not been notified of the

hearing.

Based on the foregoing, I dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply. Leave

to reapply is not an extension of timeline to apply.

The landlord must bear the cost of the filing fee, as the landlord was not successful.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: May 04, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch