

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDB-DR, FFT

Preliminary Matters

I note that landlord's name on the Application for Dispute Resolution submitted by the tenant is slightly different than the landlord's name shown on the tenancy agreement and the Condition Inspection Report. Section 64(3)(c) of the *Act* allows me to amend the application to match the tenancy agreement, which I have done.

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit (the deposits) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenant on May 14, 2022.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 17, 2022, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on May 17, 2022 and are deemed to have been received by the landlord on May 22, 2022, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit and a pet damage deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,350.00 and a security deposit of \$675.00, for a tenancy commencing on January 1, 2021
- A copy of a Condition Inspection Report which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on February 28, 2022, indicating the tenant paid a pet damage deposit of \$675.00, authorized a deduction from the deposits in the amount of \$170.00, and provided a forwarding address at the time of the move-out inspection
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposits paid by the tenant, an authorized deduction of \$170.00, and indicating the tenancy ended on February 28, 2022

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that the landlord has fifteen days from the end of tenancy and the date they received the forwarding address to either return the deposit(s) in full or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

Section 38(6) of the *Act* states that if the landlord does not return the deposit(s) or file a claim against them within the fifteen days, the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the deposit(s).

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenant paid a security deposit in the amount of \$675.00 and a pet damage deposit in the amount of \$675.00, as per the tenancy agreement and the Condition Inspection Report.

I accept the following declarations made by the tenant on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet:

- The tenant has not provided consent for the landlord to keep more than \$170.00 of the deposits
- There are no outstanding Monetary Orders against the tenant for this tenancy
- The tenant has not extinguished their right to the deposits in accordance with sections 24(1) and 36(1) of the *Act*

I accept the tenant's statement on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet that the tenancy ended on February 28, 2022.

In accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the forwarding address was duly served to the landlord on February 28, 2022, the day the landlord signed the Condition Inspection Report.

I accept the evidence before me that the landlord has failed to return the deposits to the tenant and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution requesting to retain the deposits by March 15, 2022, within the fifteen days granted under section 38(1) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit and the pet damage deposit in accordance sections 38(6) of the *Act*.

As the tenant was successful in this application, I find that the tenant is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Therefore, I find that the tenant is entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$2,460.00, calculated as follows:

Item	Amount
Doubling of unreturned security deposit (\$505.00 x 2)	\$1,010.00
Doubling of pet damage deposit (\$675.00 x 2)	\$1,350.00
Recovery of Filing Fee	\$100.00
Total Monetary Award to Tenants	\$2,460.00

Conclusion

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2,460.00 for the return of double the security deposit and the pet damage deposit and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The tenant is provided with this Order in the above terms and the landlord must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 14, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch