



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SKC HOLDINGS INC.  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      ET, FFL

### Introduction

On July 14, 2022, the Landlord made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an Order of Possession based on an early end of tenancy pursuant to Section 56 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”) and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 9:30 AM on August 11, 2022.

S.F. attended the hearing as an agent for the Landlord, and the Tenant attended the hearing as well. At the outset of the hearing, I explained to the parties that as the hearing was a teleconference, none of the parties could see each other, so to ensure an efficient, respectful hearing, this would rely on each party taking a turn to have their say. As such, when one party is talking, I asked that the other party not interrupt or respond unless prompted by myself. Furthermore, if a party had an issue with what had been said, they were advised to make a note of it and when it was their turn, they would have an opportunity to address these concerns. The parties were also informed that recording of the hearing was prohibited and they were reminded to refrain from doing so. As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

S.F. advised that the Tenant was served with the Notice of Hearing package by hand on or around August 3, 2022, and the Tenant confirmed receiving this package. As well, she stated that the Landlord’s evidence was served to the Tenant by hand on July 22, 2022, and the Tenant confirmed receiving this as well. Based on this undisputed testimony, I am satisfied that the Tenant has been sufficiently served the Landlord’s

Notice of Hearing and evidence package. As such, I have accepted all of the Landlord's evidence and will consider it when rendering this Decision.

The Tenant advised that she did not submit any documentary evidence for consideration on this file.

All parties were given an opportunity to be heard, to present sworn testimony, and to make submissions. I have reviewed all oral and written submissions before me; however, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?
- Is the Landlord entitled to recover the filing fee?

#### Background and Evidence

While I have turned my mind to the accepted documentary evidence and the testimony of the parties, not all details of the respective submissions and/or arguments are reproduced here.

All parties agreed that the tenancy started on December 1, 2021, that rent was established at an amount of \$1,625.00 per month, and that it was due on the first day of each month. A security deposit of \$812.00 was also paid. A copy of the tenancy agreement was submitted as documentary evidence for consideration.

After hearing submissions from S.F., the parties turned their minds to reaching a full and final settlement agreement. The parties were able to reach an agreement and I have recorded the terms of agreement by way of this Decision and the conditional Order of Possession that accompanies it.

#### Settlement Agreement

I raised the possibility of settlement pursuant to Section 63(1) of the *Act* which allows an

Arbitrator to assist the parties to settle the dispute. I explained to the parties that settlement discussions are voluntary, that if they chose not to discuss settlement I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter, and that if they chose to discuss settlement and did not come to an agreement, that I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter.

I advised the parties that if they did come to an agreement, I would write out this agreement in my written Decision and make any necessary Orders. I also explained that the written Decision would become a final and legally binding agreement. The parties did not have questions about discussing a settlement when asked.

The parties reached the following full and final settlement agreement during the hearing:

1. The Tenant will remain in possession of the rental unit, but must give up vacant possession on **October 31, 2022 at 1:00 PM**.
2. The Landlord is provided with an Order of Possession.
3. The parties agreed that fulfilment of these conditions would amount to full and complete satisfaction of this dispute.

This settlement agreement was reached in accordance with Section 63 of the *Act*. The parties confirmed at the end of the hearing that this agreement was made on a voluntary basis and that they understood the binding nature of this full and final settlement of these disputes.

The parties are reminded that rent must continue to be paid, in accordance with the terms of the tenancy agreement, until the end of the tenancy.

### Conclusion

The parties reached a full and final settlement agreement in resolution of this dispute. I have recorded the terms of settlement in this Decision and in recognition of the settlement agreement, the Landlord is provided with a formal copy of a conditional Order of Possession effective on **October 31, 2022 at 1:00 PM after service of this Order** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant or any occupant on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 11, 2022

---

Residential Tenancy Branch