



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding DEVON PROPERTIES LTD.  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlord on June 22, 2022.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

The Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding instructs the landlord to attach a completed Canada Post Registered Mail Receipt to confirm service.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on July 14, 2022, the landlord sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit.

The landlord provided a copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm the Direct Request documents were sent to Tenant S.N.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on July 14, 2022 and are deemed to have been received by Tenant S.N. on July 19, 2022, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

I note that the landlord typed a tracking number on the Proof of Service form for Tenant J.N.; however, I find the landlord has not submitted a copy of the Canada Post Registered Mail Receipt itself.

I find the landlord has not submitted the documentation required to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to Tenant J.N.

For this reason, I will only proceed with the portion of the landlord's application naming Tenant S.N. as a respondent.

### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on January 21, 2022 and the tenants on January 18, 2022, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,850.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on February 1, 2022
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated June 8, 2022, for \$2,780.77 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of June 21, 2022
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenants' door at 4:30 pm on June 8, 2022

- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that Tenant S.N. was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$2,850.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the 10 Day Notice was served on June 8, 2022 and is deemed to have been received by Tenant S.N. on June 11, 2022, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that Tenant S.N. has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that Tenant S.N. is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, June 21, 2022.

I note that the only monetary award available to a landlord by way of the Direct Request process is for unpaid rent and unpaid utilities. As the landlord has also sought a monetary award for matters relating to parking in the amount of \$150.00, I would not be able to consider this aspect of the landlord's claim through the Direct Request process.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$2,630.77, the amount claimed by the landlord for unpaid rent owing for June 2022.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on Tenant S.N. Should Tenant S.N. **and any other occupant** fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2,730.77 for rent owed for June 2022 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant S.N. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant S.N.

fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid parking with leave to reapply.

I dismiss portion of the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent owing for June 2022, naming Tenant J.N. as a respondent, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 08, 2022

---

Residential Tenancy Branch