

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

#### **Introduction**

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit (the deposit) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 21, 2022, the tenant sent Landlord Y.L. the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by e-mail. The tenant provided a copy of two outgoing e-mails containing the Direct Request documents as attachments to confirm this service.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### <u>Analysis</u>

In this type of matter, the tenant must prove they served the landlords with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request and all documents in support of the application as per section 89 of the *Act*.

Section 89 of the *Act* provides that a Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request may be served "*by any other means of service provided for in the regulations.*"

Section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation* provides that documents "*may be given to a person by emailing a copy to an email address provided as an address for service* by the person."

I find that the tenant has sent the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to Landlord Y.L. by e-mail. However, I find there is no evidence to demonstrate that Landlord Y.L. indicated documents could be served by e-mail. I find the tenant has not demonstrated that Landlord Y.L.'s e-mail address was provided for service of documents, as required by section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation*.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding -Direct Request to Landlord Y.L. in accordance with the Act and Regulation.

I also note that Policy Guideline #49 on Tenant's Direct Request provides the following requirements:

"Once the package is served, the tenant must complete and submit a Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding (Form RTB-50) which is provided by the Branch with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding"

I find the tenant has not submitted a copy of a Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form to establish service of the Direct Request documents to Landlord X.C.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request to Landlord X.C.

For these reasons, the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the tenant was not successful in this application, I find the tenant is not entitled to recover the filing fee paid for this application.

#### **Conclusion**

I dismiss the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenant's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 18, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch