

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding BPM HOME INVESTING LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlord on July 28, 2022.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on August 17, 2022, the landlord sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post receipts containing the tracking numbers to confirm these mailings.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on August 17, 2022 and are deemed to have been received by the tenants on August 22, 2022, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on December 1, 2020, and the tenants on November 25, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$995.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on December 1, 2020
- A copy of a Notice of Rent Increase forms showing the rent being increased from \$995.00 to the monthly rent amount of \$1,009.93
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated July 11, 2022, for \$7.46 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of July 25, 2022
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenants' door at 10:20 am on July 11, 2022
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy
- A copy of two receipts showing payments received from the tenants on July 20, 2022 in the amount of \$1,002.47 and on July 24, 2022 in the amount of \$20.00, which the landlord has indicated were received for use and occupancy only

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,009.93, as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act,* I find that the 10 Day Notice was served on July 11, 2022 and is deemed to have been received by the tenants on July 14, 2022, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full by July 19, 2022, within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, July 25, 2022.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 13, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch