



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants to obtain monetary compensation for the return of the security deposit (the deposit) and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 10, 2022, the tenants served the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request in person. The tenants submitted a copy of a video showing a document being handed to a person to confirm this service.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the tenants to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the tenants cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the tenants must prove that they served the landlords with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request in a manner that is considered necessary as per sections 71(2) (a) and 89 of the *Act*. Residential Tenancy

Policy Guideline # 49 contains the details about the key elements that need to be considered when making an application for Direct Request.

Proof of service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding may take the form of:

- registered mail receipt and printed tracking report;
- **a receipt signed by the landlord, stating they took hand delivery of the document(s); or**
- **a witness statement that they saw the tenant deliver the document(s).**

On the Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form there is no signature of a witness, or of the person who received the documents, to confirm service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord.

I note the tenants submitted a copy of a video showing documents being handed to a person. However, I find this is not adequate evidence of service for a Direct Request, as detailed in Policy Guideline #49.

I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the landlord, which is a requirement of the Direct Request Proceeding. For this reason, the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the tenants were not successful in this application, I find that the tenants are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenants' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 07, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch