



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlords submitted one signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 19, 2022, the landlords posted the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the door of the rental unit.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlords must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding– Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*. Policy Guideline # 39 provides the key elements that need to be considered when making an application for Direct Request

Proof of service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding may take the form of:

- registered mail receipt and printed tracking report;
- a receipt signed by the tenant, stating they took hand delivery of the document(s); or
- **a witness statement that they saw the landlord deliver the document(s).**

On the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding there is no signature of a witness to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenants.

I also note that Policy Guideline #12 on Service Provisions provides the following requirement:

“Important: all parties named on an application for dispute resolution must receive notice of the proceedings. Where more than one party is named on an application, each party must be served separately.”

I find that the landlords have included both tenants' names on one Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form. In an ex parte hearing, I find that I am not able to determine whether the landlords served one copy of the Direct Request documents for Tenant P.M., one copy for Tenant J.B., or two copies, one for each tenant.

I find I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenants, which is a requirement of the Direct Request process, the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find that the landlords are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 21, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch