

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPU-DR, MNU-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and utilities, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent and utilities, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlord on August 9, 2022.

The landlord submitted a copy of two Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms signed by the tenants which declare that on August 25, 2022, the landlord personally served each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding -Direct Request.

Based on the written submissions and evidence of the landlord and in accordance with section 89(1) of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served to the tenants on August 25, 2022.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and utilities pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent and utilities pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- a copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on December 13, 2021 and the tenants on December 15, 2021, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,000.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on December 15, 2021;
- a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the "10 Day Notice") dated August 2, 2022, for \$4,000.00 in unpaid rent and \$231.68 in unpaid utilities. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of August 12, 2022;
- a copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to tenant S.B. at 2:00pm on August 2, 2022;
- a copy of a series of six text messages exchanged between the landlord and tenant S.M. that show the landlord sending copies of utility bills and requesting payment; and;
- a copy of a Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing during the relevant period.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$2,000.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with section 88 of the *Act,* I find that the 10 Day Notice was served to tenant S.B. on August 2, 2022.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, August 12, 2022.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$4,000.00, the amount claimed by the landlord for unpaid rent owing for July 2022 to August 2022.

In this type of matter the landlord must prove that they served the tenants with the demand letter and a copy of the utility bills in accordance with section 88 of the *Act.* I find that the landlord has sent a request for utility payment by text message, which is not a recognized method of service under the *Act.*

For this reason, the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid utilities is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$4,100.00 for rent owed for July 2022 to August 2022 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that court.

The landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid utilities is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 21, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch