



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

**Dispute Codes**      **OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL**

### **Introduction**

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the landlords on August 29, 2022.

The landlords submitted a copy of two Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms signed by the tenants which declare that on September 15, 2022, the landlords personally served each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request.

Based on the written submissions and evidence of the landlords and in accordance with section 89(1) of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served to the tenants on September 15, 2022.

### **Issues to be Decided**

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

## Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- a copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords and the tenants on February 1, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,500.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on February 1, 2020;
- a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the “10 Day Notice”) dated August 18, 2022, for \$1,550.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of August 31, 2022;
- a copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to tenant M.J. at 7:00pm on August 18, 2022; and;
- a copy of a Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant period.

## Analysis

I find that the address on the 10 Day Notice from which the tenants must vacate does not match with the rental address on the tenancy agreement, the rental address on the Application, or the tenants’ address on any of the other documents submitted.

Section 68 of the *Act* allows for the 10 Day Notice to be amended when it is reasonable to do so. I further find that the tenants are not prejudiced by amending the address as they are aware of what their correct address is on the signed tenancy agreement.

For this reason, I have amended the address on the 10 Day Notice from which the tenants must vacate to reflect the address on the tenancy agreement.

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,500.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the 10 Day Notice was served to the tenants on August 18, 2022.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, August 31, 2022.

Therefore, I find that the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$1,550.00, the amount claimed by the landlords for unpaid rent owing for July 2022 to August 2022.

As the landlords were successful in this application, I find that the landlords are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlords a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,650.00 for rent owed for July 2022, August 2022, and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlords are provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 17, 2022

---

Residential Tenancy Branch