



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes **OPR-DR, MNR-DR, FFL**

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord to obtain an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent, to obtain monetary compensation for unpaid rent, and to recover the filing fee paid for the application.

The landlord submitted a copy of two Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on September 23, 2022, the landlord sent each tenant the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided two copies of a photograph with two envelopes addressed to the tenants showing that two envelopes were sent to the tenants by regular mail.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served each tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding– Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with subsections 89 (1) and (2) of the *Act* which permit service by either leaving a copy with the tenants, sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the tenants reside, leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the tenants; or attaching a copy to the door or other conspicuous place at the address at which the tenants reside.

I find that the landlord has sent the Notices of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request to the tenants by regular mail, which is not a method of service that is in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

I find that the landlord has not served the tenants with notice of this application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, and for this reason, the landlord's application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find that the landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 28, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch