

# Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with the tenants' Application for Dispute Resolution (Application) for:

- a Monetary Order for the return of all or a portion of their security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the Act (\$1,178.08)
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72 of the Act (\$100.00)

# Service of Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that the landlord was served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request (Proceeding Package) in accordance with section 89 of the Act. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this service.

Based on the written submissions of the tenants and in accordance with section 90 of the Act, I find that Landlord H.M. was served on October 26, 2022, by registered mail, and is deemed to have received the Proceeding Package on October 31, 2022, the fifth day after the registered mailing.

## Issue(s) to be decided

Are the tenants entitled to a Monetary Order for the return of all or a portion of their security deposit? (\$1,178.08)

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord? (\$100.00)

# **Background and Evidence**

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenants submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on August 29, 2021, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,450.00, and a pet damage deposit of \$1,225.00, for a tenancy commencing on August 25, 2021;
- A copy of a Tenant's Notice of Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the forwarding address) dated September 21, 2022;
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form which indicates that the forwarding address was sent to the landlord by e-mail at 1:58 am on September 21, 2022;
- A copy of an e-mail sent to the landlord on September 21, 2022 showing the forwarding address was included as an attachment;
- A copy of a reply e-mail from the landlord dated September 25, 2022;
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposit paid by the tenants, an authorized deduction of \$46.92, and indicating the tenancy ended on August 31, 2022.

### **Analysis**

Section 38(4) allows a landlord to retain from a security and/or pet damage if, at the end of the tenancy, the tenants agree in writing that the landlord may retain an amount to pay a liability or obligation of the tenants.

If the landlord does not have the tenants' agreement in writing to retain all or a portion of the security and/or pet damage deposit, section 38(1) of the Act stipulates that within 15 days of either the tenancy ending or the date that the landlord receives the tenants' forwarding address in writing, whichever is later, the landlord must either repay any security or pet damage deposit or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the security deposit or the pet damage deposit.

Section 38(6) of the Act states that if the landlord does not return the deposit(s) or file a claim against the deposit(s) within fifteen days, the landlord must pay the tenants double the amount of the deposit(s).

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants paid a security deposit in the amount of \$1,225.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the following declarations made by the tenants on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet:

- The tenants have not provided consent for the landlord to keep all or part of the deposit;
- There are no outstanding Monetary Orders against the tenants for this tenancy;
   and
- The tenants have not extinguished their right to the deposit in accordance with sections 24(1) and 36(1) of the Act.

I accept the tenants' statement on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet that the tenancy ended on August 31, 2022.

Section 71(2)(c) of the Act enables me to make an order that a document not served in accordance with section 88 or 89 is sufficiently given or served for purposes of this Act.

I find that the tenants sent their forwarding address to the landlord by e-mail, which is not a method of service permitted under section 88 of the Act. However, I am satisfied that the landlord received the tenants' forwarding address on the day the landlord replied to the tenants' e-mail.

For this reason, and in accordance with section 71(2)(c) of the Act, I find that the landlord has been served with the forwarding address on September 25, 2022.

I accept the evidence before me that the landlord has failed to return the deposit to the tenants and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution requesting to retain the deposit by October 10, 2022, within the fifteen days granted under section 38(1) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the landlord must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit in accordance sections 38(6) of the Act.

As the tenants were successful in their application, I find that the tenants are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Therefore, I find that the tenants are entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$2,403.08, calculated as follows:

Item	Amount
Doubling of the Security Deposit (\$1,225.00 x 2)	\$2,450.00
Less Authorized Deduction for Hydro	-\$46.92
Recovery of Filing Fee	\$100.00
Total Monetary Award to Tenants	\$2,503.08

#### Conclusion

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the Act, I grant the tenants a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2,503.08 for the return of double the security deposit and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The tenants are provided with **this Order** in the above

terms and the landlord must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 29, 2	022
-----------------------	-----

Residential Tenancy Branch