

DECISION

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with the tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution (Application) for:

- a Monetary Order for the return of all or a portion of their security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the Act (\$3,083.68)

Service of Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request

The tenant submitted a copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing a tracking number to confirm a package was sent by registered mail.

Issue(s) to be decided

Is the tenant entitled to a Monetary Order for the return of all or a portion of their security deposit? (\$3,083.68)

Analysis

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the tenant to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the tenant cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the tenant must prove they served the landlord with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request and all documents in support of the

application as per section 89 of the *Act*. Policy Guideline #49 on Tenant's Direct Request provides the following requirements:

"Once the package is served, the tenant must complete and submit a Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding (Form RTB-50) which is provided by the Branch with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding"

I note that the tenant submitted a copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing a tracking number to confirm a package was sent by registered mail. However, I find the tenant has not provided a copy of the Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form which would include a tenant statement establishing service of the Proceeding Package to the landlord. Without this accompanying statement, I find that I am not able to confirm what documents were included in the registered mailing, what date the mail was sent, or to whom the package was addressed.

I find the tenant has not submitted the documents required to confirm service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding – Direct Request, as detailed in Policy Guideline #49.

For this reason, the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

The tenant's Application for a Monetary Order for the return of all or a portion of their security deposit, pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act* is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 9, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch