

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

#### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to cross-applications by the parties pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

#### Landlord:

- an order of possession for failure to pay rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72.

#### Tenant:

- cancellation of the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent pursuant to section 46 (the 10 Day Notice);
- an order to the landlord to make repairs to the rental unit pursuant to section 32;
- an order to the landlord to provide services or facilities required by law pursuant to section 65;
- an order to allow the tenant(s) to reduce rent for repairs, services or facilities agreed upon but not provided, pursuant to section 65.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. All named parties attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony and present evidence. The parties confirmed service of the respective applications for dispute resolution, including the notice of hearing and evidence on file.

### <u>Preliminary Issue – Scope of Application</u>

Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure, Rule 2.3 states that, if, in the course of the dispute resolution proceeding, the Arbitrator determines that it is appropriate to do so, the Arbitrator may sever or dismiss the unrelated disputes contained in a single application with or without leave to apply.

Page: 2

Aside from the application to cancel the Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent, I am exercising my discretion to dismiss the remainder of the issues identified in the tenants' application with leave to reapply as these matters are not related. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable time limit.

#### <u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent or should the 10 Day Notice be cancelled?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

#### Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on April 1, 2022 with a monthly rent of \$3000.00 plus \$100.00 for parking payable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of each month. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$1500.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

Both parties submitted a copy of a 10 Day Notice dated September 20, 2022. The 10 Day Notice indicates the tenants failed to pay rent in the amount of \$6275.00 which was due on September 1, 2022. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the outstanding rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective date of the Notice. The parties confirmed that the tenant received this 10 Day Notice on September 23, 2022.

The landlord's agent R.K. testified the outstanding rent was not paid within 5 days and no rent has been paid by the tenants since.

The landlord's amended monetary claim is for outstanding rent in the amount of \$15,125.00 which includes the \$3000.00 monthly rent and \$25.00 monthly late fees for the five month period of August 2022 through to December 2022. The landlord waived the parking charges.

The tenant acknowledged service of the 10 Day Notice and that she did not pay the full amount of the arrears indicated, within five days, of receiving the Notice. The tenant agreed to the amount of outstanding rent and late fees as claimed by the landlord. The tenant only disputed the parking charges which the landlord agreed to waive in the hearing.

Page: 3

#### Analysis

I am satisfied that the tenants were served with the 10 Day Notice on September 23, 2022.

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 Day Notice the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch.

Section 26 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

Although the tenant filed an application for dispute resolution within the time limit permitted under the Act, I find the tenants application must be dismissed as the tenant acknowledged rent was not paid in full within 5 days after receiving the notice nor did the tenant have a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

Section 55(1) of the *Act* states that if a tenant applies to dispute a landlord's notice to end tenancy and their Application for Dispute Resolution is dismissed or the landlord's notice is upheld the landlord must be granted an order of possession if the notice complies with all the requirements of Section 52 of the *Act*.

I find that the 10 Day Notice issued by the landlord complies with the requirements of Section 52 of the Act, accordingly, the landlord is granted an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$3000.00 but failed to pay rent for the five-month period of August 2022 through to December 2022. I accept the landlord's claim for outstanding rent and late fees in the amount of \$15,125.00.

Page: 4

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application for a total monetary award of \$15,225.00.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order; this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$15,225.00. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 19, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch