

## **DECISION**

### **Introduction**

---

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution (Application) for:

- an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the Act
- a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the Act (\$92.00)
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72 of the Act (\$100.00)

### **Preliminary Matters**

---

I note that the spelling of the tenant's name on the Application for Dispute Resolution submitted by the landlord is slightly different than the spelling of the tenant's name shown on the tenancy agreement, the 10 Day Notice, and all other documents submitted with the application. Section 64(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend the application to reflect both versions of the tenant's name, which I have done.

### **Service of Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request**

---

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service Landlord's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that the tenant was served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request (Proceeding Package) in accordance with section 89 of the Act. The landlord had the tenant and a witness sign the Proof of Service Landlord's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding form to confirm this service.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that Tenant S.S. was duly served the Proceeding Package on November 3, 2022, in person.

### **Issue(s) to be decided**

---

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent? (\$92.00)

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant? (\$100.00)

## **Background and Evidence**

---

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on September 25, 2022, indicating a monthly rent of \$700.00, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on May 2, 2022;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated October 4, 2022, for \$1,492.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of September 30, 2022;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was served to the tenant in person at 3:00 pm on October 4, 2022;
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy. The Direct Request Worksheet noted that \$1,400.00 of the \$1,492.00 identified as owing in the 10 Day Notice was paid on October 7, 2022.

## **Analysis**

---

### **Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent?**

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 Day Notice the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears as indicated on the 10 Day Notice or dispute the 10 Day Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does not pay the arrears or dispute the 10 Day Notice they are conclusively presumed to have accepted the end of the tenancy pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act.

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$700.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with section 88 of the Act, I find that the 10 Day Notice was duly served to the tenant on October 4, 2022.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, October 14, 2022.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the Act.

#### **Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent?**

I note that the monthly rent listed in the tenancy agreement (\$700.00) does not match the amount of the rent for October 2022 listed on the Direct Request Worksheet (\$92.00).

The landlord has indicated this amount is a pro-rated amount of rent. However, I find the landlord has not indicated if they are waiving their entitlement to the balance of rent owing for October 2022.

I find I am not able to confirm the precise amount of rent owing and for this reason, the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 26 and 67 of the Act, is dismissed with leave to reapply.

#### **Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?**

As the landlord was partially successful in their application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application, pursuant to section 72 of the Act.

#### **Conclusion**

---

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord **effective two (2) days after service of this Order on the tenant**. Should the tenant or anyone on the premises fail to comply

with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$100.00** for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 7, 2022

---

Residential Tenancy Branch