

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

## **DECISION**

## Dispute Codes CNC-MT, RR, PSF, OLC

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "**Act**") for:

- the cancellation of the One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the "Notice") pursuant to section 47;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62;
- an order to allow the tenant to reduce rent for repairs, services or facilities agreed upon but not provided, pursuant to section 65; and
- an order that the landlord provide services or facilities required by law pursuant to section 65.

The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 1:40 pm in order to enable the tenant to call into the hearing scheduled to start at 1:30 pm. The landlord's agent ("**GC**") attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding. I used the teleconference system to confirm that GC and I were the only ones who had called into the hearing.

At the outset of the hearing, GC advised me that the tenant vacated the rental unit in December 2022, and that the landlord does not require an order of possession.

Rule of Procedure 6.6 states:

## 6.6 The standard of proof and onus of proof

The standard of proof in a dispute resolution hearing is on a balance of probabilities, which means that it is more likely than not that the facts occurred as claimed.

The onus to prove their case is on the person making the claim. In most circumstances this is the person making the application.

This is the tenant's application. As such, he bears the onus to prove his claim. As he failed to attend the hearing, I find that he has failed to discharge his evidentiary burden to prove that he is entitled to the orders sought. Pursuant to Rule of Procedure 7.4, he (or his agent) must attend the hearing and present his evidence for it to be considered. As this did

Page: 2

not occur, I have not considered any of the documentary evidence submitted by the tenant to the Residential Tenancy Branch in advance of the hearing.

I dismiss his claim, without to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 17, 2023

Residential Tenancy Branch