

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

## Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

A matter regarding CASCADIA APARTMENT RENTALS LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

### **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDCT, RP, FFT

#### **Introduction and Preliminary Matters**

On November 14, 2022, the Tenants applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking a repair Order pursuant to Section 32 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), seeking a Monetary Order for compensation pursuant to Section 67 of the *Act*, and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

Both Tenants attended the hearing, and M.V. attended as an agent for the Landlord. At the outset of the hearing, I explained to the parties that as the hearing was a teleconference, none of the parties could see each other, so to ensure an efficient, respectful hearing, this would rely on each party taking a turn to have their say. As such, when one party is talking, I asked that the other party not interrupt or respond unless prompted by myself. Furthermore, if a party had an issue with what had been said, they were advised to make a note of it and when it was their turn, they would have an opportunity to address these concerns. The parties were also informed that recording of the hearing was prohibited, and they were reminded to refrain from doing so. As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

Service of the Notice of Hearing package and documentary evidence was discussed, and there were no issues concerning service.

All parties agreed that the tenancy started on January 20, 2016, that rent was currently established at an amount of \$1,414.00 per month, and that it was due on the first day of each month. A security deposit of \$625.00 was also paid. A signed copy of the tenancy agreement was submitted as documentary evidence for consideration.

Page: 2

Submissions were made by both parties pertaining to the repair Order request, but it was determined that the repair had been completed on or around November 2022. Submissions were then made on the Tenants' claim for monetary compensation. However, the parties turned their minds to reaching a full and final settlement agreement. The parties were able to reach an agreement and I have recorded the terms of agreement by way of this Decision and the conditional Monetary Order that accompanies it.

#### <u>Settlement Agreement</u>

The parties raised the possibility of settlement pursuant to Section 63(1) of the *Act* which allows an Arbitrator to assist the parties to settle the dispute. I explained to the parties that settlement discussions are voluntary, that if they chose not to discuss settlement I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter, and that if they chose to discuss settlement and did not come to an agreement, that I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter.

I advised the parties that if they did come to an agreement, I would write out this agreement in my written Decision and make any necessary Orders. I also explained that the written Decision would become a final and legally binding agreement. The parties did not have questions about discussing a settlement when asked.

The parties reached the following full and final settlement agreement during the hearing:

- 1. The Landlord must pay to the Tenant an amount of \$1,700.00. The parties agreed that this could be withheld from future rent until exhausted; however, as the rent was automatically deducted electronically, it might not be possible to have this adjusted in time for April 2023 rent.
- Regardless, should the Landlord not adjust the automatic debit in future months
  to account for the refund of this amount, a conditional Monetary Order will be
  awarded to the Tenants in the amount of \$1,700.00. Only the amount unpaid by
  the Landlord will be enforceable.
- 3. The parties agreed that fulfilment of these conditions would amount to full and complete satisfaction of this dispute.

This settlement agreement was reached in accordance with Section 63 of the *Act*. The parties confirmed at the end of the hearing that this agreement was made on a

Page: 3

voluntary basis and that they understood the binding nature of this full and final settlement of this dispute.

#### Conclusion

The parties reached a full and final settlement agreement in resolution of this dispute. I have recorded the terms of settlement in this Decision, and in recognition of the settlement agreement, the Tenants are provided with a conditional Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,700.00 to serve and enforce upon the Landlord, if necessary. The Order must be served on the Landlord by the Tenants. Should the Landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court. This Order will only be enforceable in the amount that remains unpaid by the Landlord.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 27, 2023

Residential Tenancy Branch