



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing

A matter regarding Vipond Property Management
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes AS, OLC, FFT

Introduction

This hearing was convened as a result of an application under the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) for:

- authorization to assign or sublet pursuant to section 28 of the Act;
- an order that the Respondent comply with the Act, the regulations, or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 55(3) of the Act; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the Respondent pursuant to section 65 of the Act.

One of the Applicants, JM, attended this hearing. Legal counsel DR and representatives AH and RV attended this hearing on behalf of the Respondent.

JM confirmed that the issues raised in this application have since resolved due to changes in the Applicants’ plans. The parties’ evidence indicates that JM is a tenant, while the other applicant, BJS, is not a tenant.

DR agreed that the application is moot. DR also noted some technical issues, including the Respondent’s position that it is the park caretaker and not the park owner. The parties briefly discussed their next steps and options for proceeding outside of this dispute resolution process.

Based on the parties’ evidence, I find the claims raised in this application are moot, such that this application no longer discloses a dispute to be determined.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 55(4)(b) of the Act, I dismiss this application in its entirety without leave to re-apply, due to circumstances that have rendered it moot.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 26, 2023

Residential Tenancy Branch