

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

A matter regarding PARKLAND PLACE and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPC, FFL

Introduction

On February 4, 2023, the Landlord applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking an Order of Possession based on a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the "Notice") pursuant to Section 47 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*") and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

A.G. attended the hearing as an agent for the Landlord; however, the Tenant did not attend at any point during the 10-minute teleconference. At the outset of the hearing, I informed the parties that recording of the hearing was prohibited and they were reminded to refrain from doing so. As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

A.G. advised that the Notice of Hearing and evidence package was served to the Tenant by registered mail on February 10, 2023 (the registered mail tracking number is noted on the first page of this Decision). She testified that this package was unclaimed and returned to sender. Based on this undisputed testimony, and in accordance with Sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I am satisfied that the Tenant was deemed to have received the Landlord's Notice of Hearing and evidence package five days after it was mailed. As such, this evidence will be accepted and considered when rendering this Decision.

All parties were given an opportunity to be heard, to present sworn testimony, and to make submissions. I have reviewed all oral submissions before me; however, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Page: 2

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?
- Is the Landlord entitled to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

While I have turned my mind to the accepted documentary evidence and the testimony of the parties, not all details of the respective submissions and/or arguments are reproduced here.

A.G. advised that she had no idea when the tenancy started as the written tenancy agreement was lost by the Landlord. However, she stated that rent was currently established at an amount of \$596.90 per month and that it was due on the first day of each month. A security deposit of \$250.00 was also paid.

She then testified that the Notice was served to the Tenant by registered mail on January 16, 2023 (the registered mail tracking number is noted on the first page of this Decision). A copy of this tracking receipt was submitted as documentary evidence to corroborate service. As well, she stated that this package was delivered to the Tenant on January 21, 2023. The reasons the Landlord served the Notice are because the: "Tenant or a person permitted on the property by the tenant has put the landlord's property at significant risk", because the "Tenant or a person permitted on the property by the tenant has caused extraordinary damage to the unit/site or property/park", and because there was a "Breach of a material term of the tenancy agreement that was not corrected within a reasonable time after written notice to do so." The effective end date of the tenancy was noted as February 28, 2023, on the Notice.

<u>Analysis</u>

Upon consideration of the evidence before me, I have provided an outline of the following Sections of the *Act* that are applicable to this situation. My reasons for making this Decision are below.

Section 52 of the *Act* requires that any notice to end tenancy issued by a Landlord must be signed and dated by the Landlord, give the address of the rental unit, state the

effective date of the notice, state the grounds for ending the tenancy, and be in the approved form.

With respect to the Notice served to the Tenant on January 16, 2023, I have reviewed this Notice to ensure that the Landlord has complied with the requirements as to the form and content of Section 52 of the *Act*. I find that this Notice meets all of the requirements of Section 52.

The undisputed evidence before me is that the Notice was served to the Tenant by registered mail on January 16, 2023. According to Section 47(4) of the *Act*, the Tenant had 10 days to dispute this Notice, and Section 47(5) of the *Act* states that "*If a tenant who has received a notice under this section does not make an application for dispute resolution in accordance with subsection (4), the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date."*

After being deemed to have received the Notice on January 21, 2023, the tenth day fell on January 31, 2023, and the undisputed evidence is that the Tenant did not make an Application to dispute this Notice by that date. I find it important to note that the information with respect to the Tenant's right to dispute the Notice is provided on the first and third page of the Notice.

Ultimately, as the Tenant did not dispute the Notice, and as there was no evidence provided corroborating that the Tenant had any extenuating circumstances that prevented him from disputing the Notice, I am satisfied that the Tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Notice. As such, based on Sections 55(2)(b) and (4) of the *Act*, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service of this Order** on the Tenant.

As the Landlord was successful in this claim, I find that the Landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this Application. Under the offsetting provisions of Section 72 of the *Act*, I allow the Landlord to retain this amount from the security deposit in satisfaction of that claim.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective **two days after service of this**Order on the Tenant. This Order must be served on the Tenant by the Landlord. Should

Page: 4

the Tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 30, 2023	
	Residential Tenancy Branch