

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDL-S, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

On October 13, 2022, the Landlord made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a Monetary Order for compensation pursuant to Section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), seeking to apply the security deposit and pet damage deposit towards this debt pursuant to Section 67 of the *Act*, and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

The Landlord and Tenant J.T. attended the hearing. At the outset of the hearing, I explained to the parties that as the hearing was a teleconference, none of the parties could see each other, so to ensure an efficient, respectful hearing, this would rely on each party taking a turn to have their say. As such, when one party is talking, I asked that the other party not interrupt or respond unless prompted by myself. Furthermore, if a party had an issue with what had been said, they were advised to make a note of it and when it was their turn, they would have an opportunity to address these concerns. The parties were also informed that recording of the hearing was prohibited, and they were reminded to refrain from doing so. As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

Service of the Notice of Hearing packages and the parties' respective evidence was discussed, and there were issues pertaining to service of the Tenants' evidence. However, the parties turned their minds to reaching a full and final settlement agreement. The parties were able to reach an agreement and I have recorded the terms of agreement by way of this Decision.

<u>Settlement Agreement</u>

I raised the possibility of settlement pursuant to Section 63(1) of the *Act* which allows an Arbitrator to assist the parties to settle the dispute. I explained to the parties that settlement discussions are voluntary, that if they chose not to discuss settlement I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter, and that if they chose to discuss

Page: 2

settlement and did not come to an agreement, that I would make a final and binding Decision on the matter. I advised the parties that if they did come to an agreement, I would write out this agreement in my written Decision and make any necessary Orders. I also explained that the written Decision would become a final and legally binding agreement. The parties did not have questions about discussing a settlement when asked.

The parties engaged in a discussion on what would be an amenable settlement for both parties, and they agreed as follows:

- 1. The Landlord may keep the Tenants' security deposit in the amount of \$1,000.00.
- 2. The Landlord may keep the Tenants' pet damage deposit in the amount of \$1,000.00.
- 3. The parties agreed that fulfilment of these conditions would amount to full and complete satisfaction of this dispute.

This agreement is fully binding on the parties and is in full and final satisfaction of this dispute. As well, this concludes all matters, and the parties are precluded from making any other Applications against the other party with respect to this tenancy.

Conclusion

The parties reached a full and final settlement agreement in resolution of this dispute, and I have recorded the terms of settlement in this Decision.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 18, 2023	
	Residential Tenancy Branch